UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/665,500	09/22/2003	Tzung-Han Lee	2450-0552P	6842	
	7590 04/18/200 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER			
PO BOX 747			BOLES, DEREK		
FALLS CHURG	CH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			3749		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MOI	NTHS	04/18/2007	ELECT	RONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 04/18/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

			(
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/665,500	LEE, TZUNG-HAN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Derek S. Boles	3749	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the provider of th		nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06</u> I	December 2006.		
	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>11</u> is/are withdrawn 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,9,10,12 and 13</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) <u>8</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on 22 September 2003 is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	dare: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
	,		
Attachment(s)	🗖	(DTO 440)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Ll Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/665,500

Art Unit: 3749

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3, 6, 7, 9, 10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Japanese pat. (jp 5-152776). See figs. 1-5, 19 for 1st fastening element, 12 for 2nd fastening element, 14 for the through holes.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim(s) 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese pat. Japanese pat. discloses all of the limitations of the claim except for the screw section being shorter than a length of the 1st fastening element. However, since the applicant has failed to establish any criticality or synergistic results which are derived from the recited configurations, these limitations are considered a matter of obvious design choice. Thus, the applicant's design configurations would have been an obvious improvement to one of ordinary skill in the art with regard to the apparatus disclosed in Japanese pat.

Claim(s) 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese pat. in view of Ferchau et al. (4,899,254). Japanese pat. discloses all of the limitations of the claim(s) except for a second retaining head. Ferchau et al. discloses the presence of a second retaining head. See 53. Hence, one skilled in the art would find it obvious to modify the system of Japanese pat. to include the second retaining head of Ferchau et al. for the purpose of more secure attachment.

Claim(s) 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese pat.

Japanese pat. discloses all of the limitations of the claim except for the installation object being a power supply. However, since the applicant has failed to establish any criticality or synergistic results which are derived from the recited configurations, these limitations are considered a matter of obvious design choice. Thus, the applicant's design configurations would have been an obvious improvement to one of ordinary skill in the art with regard to the apparatus disclosed in Japanese pat.

Claim(s) 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese pat. in view of McAnally et al. (5,788,566). Japanese pat. discloses all of the limitations of the claim(s) except for the 1st fastening element having one open end and one closed end. McAnally et al. discloses the presence of a 1st fastening element having one open end and one closed end. See 28a. Hence, one skilled in the art would find it obvious to modify the system of Japanese pat. to include the 1st fastening element having one open end and one closed end of McAnally et al. for the purpose of a more secure attachment.

Application/Control Number: 10/665,500

Art Unit: 3749

Page 4

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 8 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The provided references are representative of the state of the art that is applicable to the applicant's invention. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Derek S. Boles at (571) 272-4872 or supervisory patent examiner Kenneth Rinehart at (571) 272-4881.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-21769197 (1911-1912).

D.S.B.

DEREKS. BOLES PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 3700

4/2/07